



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

5/1
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/078,030	02/19/2002	Peter M. Bonutti	BON-3306-3	6711
7590	05/04/2004		EXAMINER	
TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL, TUMMINO & SZABO 1111 Leader Building Cleveland, OH 44114-1400			JACKSON, GARY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	3
DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

05

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/078,030	BONUTTI, PETER M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary Jackson	3731	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 February 2002.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,11 and 64 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,11 and 64 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 19 feb 02.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

This action is a response to applicants' preliminary amendment and IDS filed February 19, 2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Voss (US Patent 3,347,234). The patent to Voss discloses each of the limitations recited in claim 1 as follow:

- a tubular member (12);
- a pusher member at least partially disposed and movable relative to the tubular member (20);
- an end portion of the tubular member having open and close conditions (14);

A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the

intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Voss is capable of performing the claimed function.

Claims 11 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Clancy, III et al (US Patent 5,681,352).

Double Patenting

Claims 11 and 64 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claim 9 of U. S. Patent No. 6,364,897 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: A method of positioning an anchor relative to body tissue, said method comprising the steps of positioning an end portion of a resilient tubular member relative to body tissue with the end portion of the resilient tubular member in a closed condition at least partially blocking a passage in the resilient tubular member, resiliently expanding the resilient tubular member by moving a tubular expansion member along a passage in the resilient tubular member, operating the end portion of the resilient tubular member from the closed condition to an open condition by applying force against the end portion of the resilient tubular member with the expansion member, and moving an anchor along a passage in the expansion member through the end portion of the resilient tubular member into the body tissue while the end portion of the resilient tubular member is in the open condition. Allowance of these claims would extend the right to exclude already granted in claim 9 of the patent, that right to exclude covering a method to

Art Unit: 3731

position a suture anchor comprising: the steps of providing a tubular member, said step of providing a tubular member includes providing a tubular member which at least partially encloses a plurality of wires which extend along at least a portion of the passage in said tubular member, inserting the tubular member into body tissue by piercing the body tissue with an end portion of the tubular member and moving at least a portion of the tubular member through an opening formed in the body tissue by piercing the body tissue with the end portion of the tubular member, moving the anchor member along a passage in the tubular member, said step of moving the anchor member along a passage in the tubular member includes applying force against the anchor member with a pusher member and sliding the pusher member along the wires, and moving the anchor member out of the passage in the tubular member into the body tissue.

The transitional phrase comprising does not exclude the presence of elements other than the method above subject matter above. Because of the phrase "comprising" the patent claim not only provides protection to the above method, but also extends the patent coverage to the disclosed method of inserting a suture anchor as claimed in the present application. Device. Thus the controlling fact is that the patent protection for the method, fully disclosed in and covered by the claim of the patent, would be extended by the allowance of the claim in this application.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 3731

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 308-4302. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7:30 am to 6:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Gary Jackson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3731

gj
May 1, 2004